

June 11, 2021

**Subject: There are two ways to be fooled.**

- 1) One is to believe what isn't true;**
- 2) The other is to refuse to believe what is true.**

Soren Kierkegaard  
My Little Zen Calendar  
March 19, 2021

Dear Representative France:

**I. Thank you very much for responding.**

- A. You were the only one out of 144 House members on my email plus 50 Senate members = 194 so that makes you in the top .5%.
- B. Not even my own House District 12 Representative Linda Reksten responded nor did my SD 6 district Senator Greg Hertz respond.
- C. [Our Montana Secretary of State Elections never responded either to my letter regarding Lake County has questionable voting machines.](#) Apparently you are not only in the majority your views are unanimous in Montana House and Senate.
- D. BTW, I never received your attachment regarding the memo.
  1. However referencing a memo is not a scientific statistical based study.
  2. Anyone can write a memo and cherry pick their cases or data to make their personal point but this does not make it true.
  3. In Engineering we students would call that “Dry Labbing” meaning you would not go out and take a statistical sound sample of real world observations to make your analysis, i.e. you would make up the data.
  4. There are numerous overwhelming studies by professionals in data security which I show in my research that there was enough fraud to change the outcome. Do you actually think the courts can determine the level of fraud in elections America?
  5. If even one vote or ballot in a municipal, state or national election is found fraudulent that is proof of fraud enough to rightfully call for the problems to be corrected and to call for a revote.
  6. Whether there is one fraudulent vote or millions in a nationwide election is academic in that fraud is fraud, how many crimes do you need to declare it?

7. This is not about who won or lost, this is about true and honest elections in America, without which there is no true and honest freedom or liberty or unalienable rights!
8. Even your home town Missoula has been called out for voting irregularities - [LINK](#) .

E. Apparently you did not read Page 43 & 44 of my research report or note Abstract, Page 2 re. Courts refusing to hear evidence or cases because of lack of standing.

F. Please link to my 79 pages of research and go to Page 43 [LINK](#) .

1. The first bullet is a [link](#) to 48 pages of some 70 cases listed on the American Bar Association web site before SCOTUS as of Feb. 23, 2021.
2. If you scan through these election cases you will get the drift that the courts on the whole are refusing to hear election fraud evidence, each line below is one case from top to bottom, I am not cherry picking!

- ✚ “Denied the motion to expedite consideration of case without issuing an opinion.”
- ✚ The district court ruled in the defendants favor but Ninth Circuit reversed...The Supreme court granted certiorari ....(the right of a higher courts e.g. SCOTUS to put off the case and consider it later)
- ✚ The court denied the motion to expedite .....but the case was distributed for conference..
- ✚ ..Plaintiffs filed a motion for expedited consideration of their petition, which the Supreme Court denied without issuing an opinion...
- ✚ The court concluded by noting that the suit seems less about achieving the relief Plaintiffs seek as much of that relief is beyond the power of this Court...
- ✚ Supreme Court is expected to take up the merits of plaintiffs arguments that the board did not have authority to agree to extend deadline for accepting absentee ballots.
- ✚ the Court denied the plaintiffs’ motion to expedite without issuing an opinion.
- ✚ Remarking on the unusual nature of the case, the court stated that a “sitting president who did not prevail in his bid for reelection has asked for federal court help in setting aside the popular vote based on disputed issues of election administration, issues he plainly could have raised before the vote occurred.” President Trump appealed, and the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the district court’s decision to deny relief. **The Seventh Circuit agreed with the district court that Wisconsin had lawfully appointed its presidential electors, and that the president’s claim was untimely.** Following the Seventh’s Circuit decision, the plaintiffs filed a petition for writ of certiorari and a motion to expedite consideration with the U.S. Supreme Court. The Court denied the motion to expedite on January 11, 2021. Several respondents filed waivers of their right to file responses to the petition between January 15 and January 27. On March 8, the Court denied the petition, ending the last of the former president’s election challenges in the Supreme Court.

**✚ Restrictions on Voting by Mail - Kistner v. Simon, No. A20-1486 (Minn. S. Ct)** In particular, the petitioners took issue with suspension of the witness requirement for absentee and mail-in ballots. The Minnesota Supreme Court dismissed all three of the petitioners' claims, finding that the **first two were time-barred and should have been asserted prior to the November 3 election (including the claim that the suspension of the witness requirement for mail-in ballots was unconstitutional), and that the third claim concerning post-election reviews must be dismissed because the petition had not been properly served on any county election officials as required by state statute.** Complaint Filed: Nov. 24, 2000 State: Minnesota Current Status: Petition to Correct Errors and Omissions: Dismissed (Dec. 4, 2000)

➤ **Did you get that, the COURT AGAIN did not even consider the evidence as they avoided that little detail because it was much easier to say it was time barred and last was not properly served.**

F. After studying samples of these 70 cases the table below represents my estimate of how the decisions were made.

Row	Type of Cases	Estimated % of Total ABA 70 SCOTUS
1	Cases Decided on Election Fraud Evidence	3%
2	Cases NOT Decided on Election Fraud Evidence	87%
3	Cases Going Both Ways or Neutral or Unknown	10%
4	Total	100%
5	<a href="http://www.freedomforallseasons.org">www.freedomforallseasons.org</a>	

- ✚ QED (What was to be shown) - SCOTUS only decided some 3% of the cases on hard election fraud evidence.
- ✚ 87% of these 70 election cases listed on the ABA web site were decided on judicial procedural decisions.
- ✚ In other words the high court largely did not weigh in on the hard election fraud evidence.

[Maricopa County Judge denies Democrat's request to stop the election audit. The audit continues!](#)

- Why would the Democrats not want the truth of the marginal states to be recounted and made public?
- This costly act of denial alone tells all to support this research.

[Here Is the Evidence – Web Site Showing and Gathering the 2020 Presidential Election Irregularities](#)

- Click on the title or image below and check out your own state.



8/14/2021


www.FreedomForAllSeasons.org

Page 74 of 87

**50 + Courts Blocked an Evidentiary Hearing. Click on graphic above and go to Page 74 for hot links.**

## **II. Ballots cast by modes of voting over time**

1. Below is a graph MIT has used in a study I posted in my research report [Election Fraud – A National Disgrace](#), Page 77 of 79.
2. This radical change of voting pattern should be a red flag to any inquisitive mind especially those benefiting from the change.



### III. How biased is the internet sources?

A. When I do an internet search with key words “impact of mail in votes on 2020 election” there were 9 pages of hits using [Startpage](#) search engine which I use to protect in a small part my privacy, nothing like using VPN.

- At a glance the average is roughly 12 hits per page so that is 108 or about 100 to 120 hits.
- As I scan these pages most are liberal sources, e.g. media, academia, so called factcheck.org, nationalgeographic, scientificamerican, pewtrusts, science, et al.
- With a few scattered conservative sources, e.g. heritage, nationalreview, etc.
- I have noticed this in many searches that there appears to be a larger predominance of liberal sources appearing on the internet.
- As the liberals control media, big tech, academia and most municipal (especially large city and county), state and federal agencies this makes some sense.
- Conservative causes do not have this Deep State infrastructure support.

- The mainstream thinking of government agencies, big tech, academia, media, big trusts like Pew is summed up by no less than <https://www.dictionary.com/e/absentee-ballot-vs-mail-in-ballot/>
- **The point is liberal sources control the internet.**

B. Here is a classic example on [www.dictionary.com](http://www.dictionary.com) directly off the internet.

- a. “Misinformation about voting by mail” (misstated by the author of this article on dictionary.com)

Since we’re here, let’s put to bed some myths and misinformation about voting by mail:

- **Voting [fraud](#) is extremely rare in the US, and voting by mail is no exception. In the past 20 years, over 250,000,000 votes have been cast by mail, and according to data from the [Heritage Foundation](#), there have been only 1,285 proven cases of voter fraud resulting in 1,100 convictions.**
- **Studies done by such organizations as [Stanford University](#) have found that voting by mail does not favor voter share or turnout of either major political party. Expanding access to voting by mail is generally considered great for all voters and their ability to exercise their right to vote.**
- To the authors credit they used both a conservative and a liberal source to make their point.
- **The [Heritage study](#) is a sample of individual voters actually charged and convicted, i.e. this does not prove that “voting fraud is extremely rare in the U.S. it is a example of cherry picking the data”!**
  - ✚ **This editor with maybe a Journalism degree with no statistical, math or engineering background is misstating the facts making a sweeping unsubstantiated editorial supporting what he believes.**
- **The [Stanford University](#) study was done by 3 Political Science and 1 Economic doctoral students. They devote all 14 pages of the 15 pages studying Democrat voting changes with Vote By Mail (VBM), 2 pages of References and 13 pages of Appendix of which 2 pages devoted to Republican voting changes with state using Vote By Mail. Their study results show that there is a few percent increase in Democrats voting when states changes to VOM and a less than 1% decline in Republican VOM. They claim this is statistically insignificant. At the same time this study shows a slight increase of Democrats voting VOM in those states allowing it vs. a slight decrease of Democrats voting in Non VBM States.**
  - 1) This study does not address the increase of probability of voter fraud when municipalities and states expand VBM.
  - 2) It does not address the lack of Photo ID for those voting.

- 3) It does not address the lack of doing a signature match on each ballot.
- 4) It does not address all the dead people voting and the dirty voter registration data bases of the county and city municipal corporations.
- 5) It does not address the massive electronic hacking of the key swing states during the last 2020 presidential election which HAS BEEN PROVEN by expert security witnesses.
- 6) **These 4 Stanford doctoral candidates should put a disclaimer at the top of their study stating “IN A PERFECT WORLD OUR STUDY RESULTS ARE PERFECT”**

➤ **My point here is the untrained eye sees only what it wants to see and defends that to its death, i.e. we live in a sick era which indoctrinates everyone from birth to death to only see one side. The real world is multi dimensional not linear, political and one sided!**

#### **IV. Real Clear Investigations published a detailed investigation on voting regulations in Europe and developed countries.**

46 of the 47 European countries require photo voter IDs (and the UK will soon make it 47 of 47). This investigation shows the history of why different countries have developed more secure voting processes over time after they have discovered problems with fraud. The [Wall Street Journal](#) and [other outlets](#) have picked this work. The more people download [this research paper on voting rules](#) and the one on the [FBI's errors](#) will get more other academics to pay attention to it.

1. A record number of voting-related lawsuits were filed in 2020, many of them related to Covid-19's impact on elections. Many of these suits remain pending in 2021, while new lawsuits continue to be filed. This tracker seeks to aggregate all active litigation in state and federal courts pertaining to voters' ability to cast their ballots and have them counted in 2021 and beyond. This list does not include cases pertaining to candidacy issues, ballot initiatives, or redistricting.
2. To date, we (Real Clear Investigations) are tracking 29 lawsuits in fourteen states plus D.C. So far in 2021, at least 21 voting cases have been filed in ten states, and Georgia leads the way with eight new lawsuits in 2021. We are also tracking an additional eight lawsuits in seven states and D.C. that were filed prior to 2021 but remain active and bear on voting access going forward.

A. Plus the courts are obviously highly political. (Jack comments)

- ✚ They frequently decide based on their own personal political bias.
- ✚ This is why the nominations of judges to all courts especially the higher courts like SCOTUS become a disparate political battle.

✚ Let's face the truth; some of our "Best and Brightest Americans" are the most indoctrinated of us all.

## **V. Why Do Most Countries Require Photo Voter IDs? They Have Seen Massive Vote Fraud Problems -**

**This is a 172 page professional report - Link above to view.**

John R. Lott, Jr.  
President  
Crime Prevention Research Center  
johnlott@crimeresearch.org  
May 18, 2021

### Abstract


Americans keep hearing that there is no need to protect against vote fraud, that fraud is either non-existent or extremely rare. We hear that regulations, such as photo voter IDs, are unnecessary and will disenfranchise voters. Yet, the United States is not unique in having this debate. Virtually all of Europe and almost all developed countries require in-person voters to use photo IDs to vote. Indeed, out of Europe's 47-countries, only the United Kingdom hasn't required photo IDs to vote in their entire country, but that is about to change. Similar in-person rules exist for most developed countries. The vast majority of countries ban absentee ballots for people living in their country. While some point out that eight of Europe's 47-countries allow for proxy voting, where you can designate someone to vote on your behalf, the safe-guards used to prevent fraud are generally far more stringent than used for absentee ballots in the US. Other countries have discovered widespread voter fraud when safeguards are not used. They also understand that relying on conviction counts is unlikely to catch the vast majority of fraud that occurs. The question is: why is the US so unique in terms of not guarding against vote fraud?






The American media keeps telling us two “facts.” Voter ID laws are “racist,” and election fraud claims are “false” and “baseless.”<sup>1</sup> On Thursday, David Leonhardt at the New York Times said that he didn’t take his comments “lightly” but labeled state ID and voting laws as showing “the Republican Party’s growing discomfort with democracy.”<sup>2</sup> “It’s dangerously anti-democratic,” another article in the Times quotes Kenneth Mayer, a political scientist at the University of Wisconsin.<sup>3</sup>

But by that standard, all of Europe, indeed, virtually all the developed world, is “anti-democratic.”




It isn't as though people in other countries haven't heard the same arguments about the importance of the ease of voting. Professor Toby James of the University of East Anglia strongly opposes voter IDs and believes IDs will “lead to people not being able to vote.”<sup>4</sup>

Democrats are pushing to remove almost all identification requirements for voting. The House just passed the “For the People Act of 2021,”<sup>5</sup> which replaces state voter ID rules with a signed statement from the voter and makes permanent the pandemic’s mail-in ballot voting. The mailing out of blank absentee ballots would become a fixture of American elections.



**Absentee Ballot Requirements**

- Bans Absentee Ballots for Citizens Living in the Country, Poland Allowed Absentee for Only the 2020 Election
- Most Cantons Require Photo IDs
- Allows Absentee Ballots, but Requires Photo ID to Obtain One
- Allows Absentee Ballots



## Jack's Reply to Montana Missoula Representative Above

**From:** Tom France [mailto:tom.france@mtleg.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 13, 2021 10:14 AM  
**To:** Jack Venrick  
**Subject:** Re: Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

Mr. Venrick - thanks for sending your analysis. Every election in Montana was tabulated by independent election boards and certified by the Montana Secretary of State. I find nothing in your analysis that makes me doubt the integrity of Montana's elections. By way of another view on election integrity, I attach a lengthy memo prepared by Wyoming Representative Liz Cheney which looked at the presidential vote in all of the states where President Biden's margin was narrow. As Representative Cheney's memo underscores, numerous federal and state courts examined the election results and heard arguments from attorneys before concluding there was no evidence of fraud and no basis for questioning the outcome. I'm certain the courts in Montana, either federal or state, would come to the same conclusion should you choose to pursue your claims in front of an independent forum.

Rep. Tom France  
HD 94

Rep. Tom France  
HD 94

---

**From:** "Jack Venrick" <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>  
**To:** "Jack Venrick" <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 11, 2021 1:25:26 PM  
**Subject:** Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

May 11, 2021

To: Montana Secretary of State – Elections (Sent April 21, 2021)  
cc: Lake County Election Administrator

Bcc:

Montana State House

**Montana State Senate**

My Property Rights Friends – Group 1, Group 2, Group 3, Group 4

Subject: Montana Voting Machines Integrity and Security

Please find the following research reports.

- 1) Attached is a 7 page letter (Word document) regarding election machines in Lake County Election Department, Polson Montana.
- 2) Also please find linked my latest continually updated research report - **[Election Fraud in America – A National Disgrace](#)**
  - If you have seen this research before, please start at the back of the report and read forward until it looks familiar.
  - There is much new information on the 2020=2021 election fraud.

**Quote of the week:**

*It is easier to fool people than to convince them that they have been fooled.*

Mark Twain

Sincerely,

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana  
[www.freedomforallseasons.org](http://www.freedomforallseasons.org)

Attached: Word Document